RG104 E-1 Box 127 July-Nov 1882

The Mint of the United States,

AT

PHILADELPHIA, PENN.,

Assay Department, Nov. 18, 1882

Sir:

Respecting the letter of the Director, remitting refining charges upon purchases of Mexican Dollars I may remind you that while much of this kind of bullion is unobjectionable, yet it does sometimes prove refractory in our operations. The result is an unduly large proportion of the ingots are returned to the Melter & Refiner as unfit for Coinage.

Accordingly, he purposes avoiding the risks incident to remeltings by ~~toughening~~ refining the metal in the first operation. The question then is, whether the Mint ought to bear this expense, and whether I am justified in omitting the charge.

Very Respectfully

Jacob B. Eckfeldt

Assayer

Hon A. L. Snowden

Supt U.S.M.

RG104 E-1 Box 127 July-Nov 1882

1071

U. S. Mint
Nov 18/82

Jacob B. Eckfeldt
Assayer

Should there be a
Refining charge on
depositing Mexican
Dollars?

RECEIVED
NOV 18 1882
U. S. MINT, PHILA.

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 127

[Abstract:] Should there be a Refining charge on refractory Mexican Dollars? 1071

The Mint of the United States,
At Philadelphia, Penn.,
Assay Department,
November 18, 1882

Sir:

Respecting the letter of the Director, remitting refining charges upon purchase of Mexican Dollars I may remind you that while much of this kind of bullion is unobjectionable, yet it does sometimes prove refractory in our operations. The result is an unduly large proportion of the ingots are returned to the Melter & Refiner as unfit for coinage.

According, he proposes avoiding the risks incident to remelting by refining the metal in the first operation. The question then is, whether the Mint ought to bear this expense, and whether I am justified in omitting the charge.

Very Respectfully,
Jacob B. Eckfeldt
Assayer

Hon. A.L. Snowden
Supt. &c. &c.